

Austin & Rogers, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

WILLIAM FREDERICK AUSTIN
(1930-2016)

TIMOTHY F. ROGERS
RAYMON E. LARK, JR.
RICHARD L. WHITT
EDWARD L. EUBANKS
W. MICHAEL DUNCAN*

COLUMBIA OFFICE
CONGAREE BUILDING
508 HAMPTON STREET, SUITE 203
POST OFFICE BOX 11716 (29211)
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 256-4000
FACSIMILE: (803) 252-3679
WWW.AUSTINROGERSPA.COM

OF COUNSEL:
JEFFERSON D. GRIFFITH, III

* ALSO ADMITTED IN N.C.

June 29, 2018

VIA, ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Jocelyn Boyd
Chief Clerk and Administrator
The Public Service Commission of South Carolina
101 Executive Center Drive
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

- Re: • Petition of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC for Approval of CPRE Queue Number Proposal, Limited Waiver of Generator Interconnection Procedures, and Request for Expedited Review
 • **Docket 2018-202-E**

Ms. Boyd:

Several of my clients have asked me to intervene in this Docket and I am in the process of completing those interventions.

I am aware that Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esquire of the South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff, (“ORS”), has notified you by correspondence, that ORS is opposed to Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC’s and Duke Energy Progress, LLC’s, (hereinafter as, “Duke”) request for expedited review. Accordingly, please consider this correspondence as Ecoplexus, Inc.’s and the South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc.’s concurrence with Attorney Nelson’s letter of opposition.

Specifically, my clients oppose Duke’s request for expedited review, because, as stated by ORS’ counsel, sufficient time is needed to conduct discovery. Also, the South Carolina Generator Interconnection Procedures (“SCGIP”) were developed through a collaborative process over the course of many months. My clients maintain that an expedited review in this Docket would be inappropriate without a proper analysis of the how Duke’s proposal might impact the SCGIP and other interconnection related issues in South Carolina.

On behalf of my clients, I expressly reserve the right to file additional comments on the merits of the Company's request, in addition to this correspondence, which only addresses the Company's request for expedited review.

Regards,
AUSTIN & ROGERS, P.A.

/s/Richard L. Whitt,
Richard L. Whitt,
As counsel for Ecoplexus, Inc., and
The South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc.

cc: All Parties of Record, (via, electronic mail, only)